FORM 10-Q

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001

(_) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-6948

SPX CORPORATION (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

38-1016240 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

700 Terrace Point Drive, Muskegon, Michigan 49443-3301 (Address of Principal Executive Office)

Registrant's Telephone Number including Area Code (231) 724-5000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Common shares outstanding November 8, 2001-40,284,172

Item 1. Financial Statements

SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (\$ in millions)

	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2000
ASSETS Current assets: Cash and equivalents Accounts receivable	\$ 340.5	\$ 73.7
Inventories Prepaid and other current assets Deferred income taxes and refunds	916.0 637.7 182.0 251.5	57.7 84.2
Total current assets Property, plant and equipment Accumulated depreciation	2 327 7	1,062.9 884.7 (392.7)
Net property, plant and equipment Goodwill and intangible assets, net Investment in joint ventures Other assets	861.7 2,994.8 150.2	492.0
Total assets		\$ 3,164.6 =======
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable Accrued expenses	\$ 481.3 859.2 1,340.5	\$289.4 347.7
Total current liabilities	1,340.5	637.1
Long-term debt Deferred income taxes Other long-term liabilities	2,709.1 664.5 433.7	1,295.6 403.4 192.1
Total long-term liabilities		1,891.1
Minority Interest Shareholders' equity:	26.0	28.2
Common stock Paid-in capital Retained earnings Unearned compensation Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) Common stock in treasury	415.2 1,109.7 285.9 (1.2) (88.1) (103.6)	(9.5) (23.0) (387.3)
Total shareholders' equity	1,617.9	608.2
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		\$ 3,164.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited) (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended September 30,						
		2001		2000	2001		2000
Revenues	\$	1,216.7	\$	645.1	\$ 2,807.2	\$	1,968.0
Costs and expenses: Cost of products sold Selling, general and administrative Goodwill/intangible amortization Special charges		241.2 20.9 4.0		121.5 10.3 63.8	1,903.6 541.5 46.5 47.9		369.9 29.4 85.5
Operating income				26.2			176.5
Gain on issuance of Inrange stock Other (expense) income, net Equity earnings in joint ventures Interest expense, net		1.3 8.5 (39.6)		98.0 (1.7) 8.0 (24.2)			98.0 21.8 26.9 (70.6)
Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes		103.9 (44.7)		106.3 (43.6)	 192.6 (84.6)		252.6 (103.6)
Income before loss on early extinguishment of debt Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of tax		59.2 		62.7	108.0		149.0 (8.8)
Net income		59.2		62.7	108.0		140.2
Basic income per share of common stock Income before loss on early extinguishment of debt Loss on early extinguishment of debt	\$	1.48			3.07		4.82 (0.28)
Net income per share	\$	1.48	\$		\$	\$	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	===	40.089			35.210	===	30.906
Diluted income per share of common stock Income before loss on early extinguishment of debt Loss on early extinguishment of debt	\$			1.94	3.00		4.68 (0.28)
Net income per share	\$	1.45	\$	1.94	\$	\$	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	===	40.878	===	====== 32.254	35.996	===	31.894

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (\$ in millions)

	Nine Mont Septem	ber 30,
	2001	2000
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income	\$ 108.0	¢ 140 0
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities -	\$ 100.0	\$ 140.Z
Loss on sale of businesses	11.8	
Special charges Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of tax	61.4	85.5 8.8
Gain on issuance of Inrange Stock	-	(98.0)
Deferred income taxes	60.0	
Depreciation	64.7	49.8
Amortization of goodwill and intangibles	59.4	33.7
Employee benefits	(23.0)	(26.1)
Other, net Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of	-	(6.7)
effects from acquisitions and divestitures	(105.7)	(22.4)
Net cash from operating activities before taxes on sale of Best Power	236.6	164.1 (69.0)
Taxes paid on the sale of Best Power	-	(69.0)
	226 6	 95.1
Cash flows from (used in) investing activities:	230.0	95.1
Business divestitures	163.0	-
Business acquisitions and investments	163.0 (1,408.6)	(211.1)
Capital expenditures	(113.4)	(91.0) (2.7)
Other		
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(1,375.0)	(304.8)
Cash flows from (used in) financing activities:		
Net borrowings under revolving credit agreement	-	20.0
Borrowings under other debt agreements	1,724.2 (1,252.7)	509.4
Payments under other debt agreements	(1,252.7)	(445.3)
Proceeds from Issuance of Inrange Stock Treasury stock purchased	-	128.2
Treasury stock issued for UDI acquisition	- 375.1	(47.2)
Common stock issued for UDI acquisition	530.0	-
Common stock issued under stock incentive programs	28.6	12.4
Net cash from financing activities		
Net cash from financing activities	1,405.2	177.5
Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents	266.8	(32.2)
Cash and equivalents, beginning of period	73.7	(32.2) 78.8
Orah and anning lands and aff maniad		
Cash and equivalents, end of period	\$ 340.5 ======	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS September 30, 2001 (Unaudited) (\$ in millions, except per share data)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and related interim statements of income and cash flows include the adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring items) necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Preparing financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Form 10-K/A.

2. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

We continually review each of our businesses pursuant to our "fix, sell or grow" strategy. These reviews could result in selected acquisitions to expand an existing business or result in the disposition of an existing business. Business acquisitions and dispositions for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 are described below.

UDI

- ---

On May 24, 2001, we completed the acquisition of United Dominion Industries Limited ("UDI") in an all-stock transaction valued at \$1,066.9. We issued a total of 9.385 million shares (3.890 million from treasury) to complete the transaction. SPX also assumed or refinanced \$884.1 of UDI debt bringing the total transaction value to \$1,951.0.

UDI, which had sales of \$2,366.2 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000, manufactures the following products: electrical test and measurement solutions, cable and pipe locating devices, laboratory testing chambers, industrial ovens, electrodynamic shakers, air filtration and dehydration equipment, material handling devices, electric resistance heaters, soil, asphalt and landfill compactors, specialty farm machinery, pumps, valves, cooling towers, boilers, leak detection equipment, and aerospace components.

The acquisition was accounted for by the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the statements of consolidated income include the results of UDI beginning May 25, 2001. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at preliminary estimates of fair values as determined by management and preliminary independent appraisals based on information currently available and on current assumptions as to future operations. We intend to complete our review and determination of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed before May 2002. Such review includes finalizing any strategic reviews of the UDI businesses and our plans to integrate the operations of UDI, evaluating the contingent and actual liabilities assumed, and obtaining final appraisals of the tangible and intangible assets acquired. As such, the allocation of the purchase price is subject to revision, and such revision could be material. For financial statement purposes the excess of cost over net assets acquired is amortized by the straight-line method over 40 years.

A preliminary summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition follows:

Estimated fair values

Assets acquired	\$	2,071.3
Liabilities assumed		(1,972.1)
Excess of cost over net assets acquired		967.7
Purchase price	\$	1,066.9
Less cash acquired		(78.4)
Net purchase price	\$ ==	988.5

Of the total assets acquired, \$484.2 is allocated to identifiable intangible assets, including trademarks and patents, based on a preliminary assessment of fair value.

As a result of the acquisition of UDI, we have incurred to date integration expenses for the incremental costs to exit and consolidate activities at UDI locations, to involuntarily terminate UDI employees, and for other costs to integrate operating locations and other activities of UDI with SPX. Generally accepted accounting principles require that these acquisition integration expenses, which are not associated with the generation of future revenues and have no future economic benefit, be reflected as assumed liabilities in the allocation of the purchase price to the net assets acquired. On the other hand, these same principles require that acquisition integration expenses are discussed in the "Special Charges" footnote. The components of the acquisition integration liabilities included in the preliminary purchase price allocation for UDI are as follows:

	rkforce ductions	ancelable _eases	(Other	 Total
Original costs Payments	\$ 46.4 (0.9)	\$ 9.1 (0.5)	\$	20.6 (0.8)	\$ 76.1 (2.2)
Balance at June 30, 2001 Payments Adjustments	\$ 45.5 (11.1) 5.4	\$ 8.6 (0.2) 2.0	\$	19.8 (4.3) 0.8	\$ 73.9 (15.6) 8.2
Balance at September 30, 2001	\$ 39.8	\$ 10.4	\$	16.3	\$ 66.5

The acquisition integration liabilities are based on our current integration plan, which focuses on three key areas of integration: (1) manufacturing process and supply chain rationalization, including plant closings, (2) elimination of redundant administrative overhead and support activities, and (3) restructuring and repositioning sales and marketing organizations to eliminate redundancies in these activities. We expect to close 49 manufacturing, sales and administrative facilities. As of September 30, 2001, 28 have been announced and 11 have been completed. We expect that additional charges associated with these actions will be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2001. Anticipated savings from these cost reduction and integration actions are expected to exceed \$120.0 on an annualized basis.

In total, we expect to reduce the former UDI workforce by approximately 2,500 employees. As of September 30, 2001, the workforce has been reduced by 1,639 employees. Terminated employees that qualify will be paid out of SPX pension assets. Remaining cash outflows related to workforce reductions approximate \$14.0. Other cash costs primarily represent facility holding costs, supplier cancellation fees, and the relocation of UDI personnel associated with plant closings and product rationalization. We expect that the termination of employees and consolidation of facilities will be substantially complete within one year of the date of acquisition.

Unaudited pro forma results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 as if UDI and SPX had been combined as of the beginning of those periods follow. The pro forma results include estimates and assumptions that we believe are reasonable. However, pro forma results do not include any anticipated cost savings or other effects of the planned integration of UDI and SPX, and are not necessarily indicative of the results which would have occurred if the business combination had been in effect on the dates indicated, or that may result in the future.

	Pro forma				
		ed			
		Septemb	ber 30,		
	2	2001		2000	
Net sales	\$	3,701.6	\$	3,741.7	
Income before extraordinary item/(1)/		100.1		162.3	
Net income		100.1		153.5	
Basic income (loss) per share:					
Income before extraordinary item	\$	2.51	\$	4.03	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt				(0.22)	
Net income per share	\$	2.51	 \$	3.81	
	Ψ	2.51	Ψ	3.01	
Diluted income (loce) new charge					
Diluted income (loss) per share:	\$	2.46	\$	3,92	
Income before extraordinary item Loss on early extinguishment of debt	Ф	2.40	Ф	3.92 (0.21)	
LOSS ON Early ExcligutSiment of debt				(0.21)	
Net income per share	\$	2.46	\$	3.71	
Net Income per shalle	φ	2.40	Ψ	5.71	

(1) SPX recorded an after-tax loss of 8.8 on the early extinguishment of debt in the first quarter of 2000.

Other Acquisitions - 2001

- -----

In July of 2001, we completed the acquisition of Kendro Laboratory Products, L.P. of Newtown, Connecticut for \$320.0 in cash. Kendro designs, manufactures and markets sample-preparation and processing products and services for life-sciences markets including pharmaceuticals, genomics, proteomics and others. Kendro and Revco, SPX's existing life-sciences business unit based in Asheville, North Carolina, will be integrated and collectively referred to as Kendro. The combined company will derive more than 50% of its revenues from international markets.

In the first nine months of 2001, in addition to UDI and Kendro, we made twelve other acquisitions with an aggregate purchase price of \$133.6. These acquisitions and the Kendro acquisition were all accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the purchase price was allocated on a preliminary basis to the related assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. These acquisitions are not material individually or in the aggregate.

Acquisitions - 2000

On March 31, 2000, we completed the acquisition of Fenner Fluid Power, a division of Fenner plc of Yorkshire, England for a cash purchase price of \$64.0. Our high-pressure hydraulics business is a market leader in the manufacture and distribution of high force industrial tools and hydraulic power systems and components. The addition of Fenner Fluid Power's medium pressure hydraulic power system components provides new technology and additional presence in the international market. Fenner Fluid Power has facilities in Rockford, Illinois and Romford, England.

In the first nine months of 2000, in addition to Fenner Fluid Power, we made eighteen other acquisitions with an aggregate purchase price of \$147.1. Each of the acquisitions for the nine months ended September 30, 2000 was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. These acquisitions and the one described above are not material individually or in the aggregate.

Divestitures - 2001

On August 27, 2001, we sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of our Marley Pump business, formerly of UDI, for a cash purchase price of \$40.0. This business was held for sale as of the acquisition date of UDI and, accordingly, no gain or loss was recorded on the sale of this business.

On May 18, 2001, we sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of our GS Electric business and recorded a pre-tax loss of \$11.8.

3. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

In the second quarter of 2001, we began reporting our results of operations in four segments, Technical Products and Systems, Industrial Products and Services, Flow Technology, and Service Solutions. The new structure reflects the acquisition of UDI and aligns financial reporting with the operating structure of the organization.

The Technical Products and Systems segment is focused on solving customer problems with complete technology-based systems. This segment includes operating units that design and manufacture networking and switching products for storage, data and telecommunications networks, fire detection and integrated building life-safety systems, TV and radio transmission systems, automated fare collection systems, laboratory and industrial ovens and freezers, electrical test and measurement solutions, cable and pipe locating devices, laboratory testing chambers, sample preparation and processing equipment, and electrodynamic shakers.

The Industrial Products and Services segment emphasizes introducing new related services and products, as well as focusing on the replacement parts and service elements of the segment. This segment includes operating units that design, manufacture, and market power transformers, hydraulic systems, high-integrity aluminum and magnesium die-castings, forgings, automatic transmission filters, industrial filtration products, dock equipment, material handling devices, electric resistance heaters, soil asphalt and landfill compactors, specialty farm machinery, as well as components for the aerospace industry.

The Flow Technology segment designs, manufactures, and markets solutions and products that are used to process or transport fluids and in heat transfer applications. This segment includes operating units that manufacture pumps and other fluid handling machines, valves, cooling towers, boilers, leak detection equipment, and industrial mixers.

The Service Solutions segment includes operations that design, manufacture, and market a wide range of specialty tools, hand-held diagnostic systems and service equipment, inspection gauging systems, precision scales, and technical and training information.

Inter-company sales among segments are not significant. Operating income by segment does not include general corporate expenses or corporate special charges.

Financial data for the company's business segments are as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ended September 30,			
		2001		2000		2001		2000
Revenues: Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology Service Solutions	\$ \$=		 \$	217.3 63.7	 \$	989.0		673.4 201.8 524.3
Operating Income: Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology Service Solutions Special Charges Corporate General Corporate	\$	38.3 52.1 43.0 13.3 (13.0)		9.2 11.3 (18.4) (1.1)		94.3 120.7 77.6 26.5 (19.0) (32.4)		68.9 26.1 19.2 (9.3)
	\$ ==	133.7	\$ ===	26.2	\$ ==	267.7	\$ ==	176.5

4. SPECIAL CHARGES

Special charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 include the following:

	Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ende September 30,			
	2	001 	2	:000 	:	2001	:	2000
Employee Benefit Costs Facility Consolidation Costs Other Cash Costs Non-Cash Asset Write-downs	\$	- 1.3 - 2.7	\$	15.4 4.3 2.0 54.4	\$	10.8 11.5 8.7 30.4	\$	16.7 6.9 2.0 72.2
Total	\$ =====	4.0	\$ ====	76.1	\$ =====	61.4 =======	\$ =====	97.8

Special Charges - 2001

In the third quarter of 2001, we recorded special charges that reduced operating income by \$4.0. A special charge of \$2.7 was recorded in the Technical Products and Systems segment to write-down the value of an investment held in a third party. We recorded \$1.3 of special charges in the Industrial Products and Services segment. These charges primarily relate to previously announced plant consolidation costs.

Operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, was reduced by special charges of \$61.4, \$13.5 of which relates to inventory write-downs recorded in cost of products sold. These charges relate to workforce reductions, asset write-downs, and other cash costs associated with plant consolidation, exiting certain product lines and facilities, and other restructuring actions. The costs of employee termination benefits relate to the elimination of approximately 597 positions; primarily manufacturing, sales and administrative personnel located in the United States.

In the Technical Products and Systems segment, \$16.8 of special charges has been recorded primarily due to workforce reductions, asset impairments associated with its data storage networks business exiting the telecom business, and the impairment of an investment held in a supplier. Of this charge, \$4.9 is recorded in cost of products sold.

In the Industrial Products and Services segment, special charges of \$11.5 have been recorded primarily related to workforce reductions, plant consolidation costs, asset impairments associated with exiting a product line, and the closing of a manufacturing facility in Toledo, Ohio and in the United Kingdom. Of this charge, \$1.8 is recorded in cost of products sold.

In the Service Solutions segment, \$14.1 of special charges has been recorded primarily due to workforce reductions and asset impairments associated with exiting the emissions business and closing a facility in France. Of this charge, \$6.8 is recorded as a component of cost of products sold.

Corporate special charges include \$14.9 of costs associated with the announced move of our corporate headquarters to Charlotte, North Carolina. In addition to severance, these costs include non-cancelable lease obligations, facility-holding costs and asset impairments associated with a leased facility in Muskegon, Michigan. Other special charges of \$4.1 include an asset impairment relating to the abandonment of an internet-based software system.

Special Charges - 2000

In the first nine months of 2000, we recorded special charges of \$97.8 associated with restructuring actions, in-process technology write-offs, asset impairments, and product rationalizations. \$12.3 of the charge, which relates to inventory write-downs, is recorded in cost of products sold.

In the Technical Products and Systems segment, a \$10.0 special charge was recorded for the write-off of in-process technology associated with the acquisition of Varcom Corporation.

In the Industrial Products and Services segment, \$45.9 of special charges was recorded for workforce reductions, plant consolidation costs, asset write-downs, and product rationalizations. \$10.0 of the charge was associated with the restructuring plan initiated by our Contech Metal Forge business unit in Clarksville, Tennessee. \$9.3 of the charge was required for a write-down of goodwill because the estimated fair value as measured by discounted cash flows was less than the carrying value of the business. The remainder of the charge primarily related to the closure of manufacturing facilities located in Virginia, Pennsylvania, Minnesota, and the consolidation of certain Fluid Power operations into Fenner Fluid Power's Rockford, Illinois facility.

The Service Solutions segment recorded \$32.6 of special charges, of which \$12.3 is associated with discontinued products and is recorded as a component of cost of products sold. The remainder of the charge is primarily associated with the closing of its Wayland, Michigan facility and the consolidation of administrative and financial operations at its Kalamazoo, Michigan and Montpelier, Ohio locations.

Other special charges of \$9.3 were recorded at the corporate level, of which \$8.2 related to a write-down of an investment in certain software licenses.

At September 30, 2001, a total of \$29.8 of restructuring liabilities remained on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as shown below. We anticipate that the remaining liability related to restructuring actions initiated in the fourth quarter of 2000 will be paid before the end of this year. The following table summarizes the restructuring reserve activity through September 30, 2001:

	Employee Benefit Costs	Facility Consolidation Costs	Other Cash Costs	Asset Write-downs	Total
Balance at December 31, 2000 Special Charges Non-Cash Asset Write-Downs	\$ 10.0 10.8	\$ 1.7 11.5	\$ 4.3 8.7	\$- 30.4 (30.4)	\$ 16.0 61.4 (30.4)
Cash Payments	(8.5)	(5.0)	(3.7)	()	(17.2)
Balance at September 30, 2001	\$ 12.3 =======	\$ 8.2 ======	\$ 9.3 ======	\$ \$- =======	\$ 29.8 ======

5. OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME, NET

For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, other (expense)income includes an \$11.8 loss on the sale of GS Electric.

On May 17, 2000, General Signal Power Systems, Inc. ("Best Power") settled its patent infringement suit against American Power Conversion Corporation ("APC"). We received gross proceeds of \$48.0 and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$23.2, net of legal costs and other related expenses. We sold our Best Power business to Invensys, plc. in the fourth quarter of 1999, but retained our ownership of the rights under the patent litigation. Invensys, plc. subsequently obtained the ownership of the patents that were the object of the litigation.

6. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth certain calculations used in the computation of diluted earnings per share:

Three months ende	ed September 30,	Nine months ended	d September 30,
2001	2000	2001	2000
\$ 59.2	\$ 62.7	\$ 108.0	\$ 140.2
40.089	30.917	35.210	30.906
0.789	1.337	0.786	0.988
40.878	32.254	35.996 ======	31.894 ======
	2001 \$ 59.2 40.089 0.789	2001 2000 \$ 59.2 \$ 62.7 40.089 30.917 0.789 1.337	\$ 59.2 \$ 62.7 \$ 108.0 40.089 30.917 35.210 0.789 1.337 0.786

7. INVENTORY

Inventory consists of the following:

	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2000
Finished goods Work in process Raw material and purchased parts	\$ 247.4 163.3 244.2	\$ 131.1 65.9 117.7
Total FIFO cost	\$ 654.9	\$ 314.7
Excess of FIFO cost over LIFO inventory value	(17.2)	(15.1)
Total Inventory	\$ 637.7 ========	\$ 299.6

8. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES

In the second quarter of 2001, we entered into a joint venture with Assa Abloy AB for the manufacture, sale and distribution of door products. We contributed our Door Products business, which was acquired in the UDI acquisition. Assa Abloy contributed the Curries Company and Graham Manufacturing Corporation, Assa Abloy's two door product manufacturing entities. As part of the transaction we received \$96.0 in cash and a 20% ownership interest in the joint venture, which is being accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The joint venture agreement includes a put and call agreement that allows for the sale or purchase of our 20% interest in the joint venture, two years after its formation, to Assa Abloy at a pre-determined price.

We also own a 44.5% interest in EGS, a joint venture with Emerson Electric Co., and account for our investment in EGS under the equity method of accounting, on a three-month lag basis. EGS operates primarily in the United States, Canada and Mexico. EGS's results of operations were as follows:

	Three mon June (unaud	30,	Nine months ended June 30, (unaudited)			
	2001	2000	2001	2000		
Net sales Gross margin Pre-tax income	\$ 107.7 42.5 11.5	\$ 114.9 44.9 16.7	\$ 343.9 137.6 47.9	\$ 354.0 141.9 56.3		

Condensed balance sheet information of EGS as of June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000 was as follows:

	June 30, 2001 (unaudited)	September 30, 2000
Current assets	\$ 148.2	\$ 170.4
Noncurrent assets	312.4	318.1
Current liabilities	67.1	66.6
Noncurrent liabilities	17.4	30.0

Our recorded investment in EGS at September 30, 2001 was approximately \$92.7 less than our ownership of EGS's reported net assets at September 30, 2001. This difference is being accreted on a straight-line basis over 40 years.

9. DEBT

Our long-term debt as of September 30, 2001 and December 31, 2000 consists of the following principal amounts:

	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2000
Revolving loan	\$-	\$ 220.0
Tranche A loan	450.0	525.0
Tranche B loan	492.5	496.3
Tranche C loan	827.1	-
LYONs, net of unamortized discount of \$580.4	829.4	-
Medium-term notes: \$25.0 at 7.1% due 2002	-	25.0
Industrial revenue bonds due 2001-2025	22.9	16.1
Other borrowings	87.2	13.2
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ 2,709.1	\$ 1,295.6
	==========	=========

Restated Credit Agreement

- ------

On May 24, 2001, we amended and restated our Credit Agreement ("Restated Credit Agreement") to provide for an additional \$530.0 of Tranche C term loan and an additional \$50.0 for the revolving credit facility. The term loan proceeds were used to pay down the acquired debt of United Dominion Industries.

On January 31, 2001, we amended and restated our Credit Agreement to provide for an additional \$300.0 Tranche C term loan. The proceeds were used for acquisitions and to pay down the revolving credit loan balance. We also increased our revolving credit facility by \$125.0 to \$550.0. The terms of the Tranche C term loan and the revolving credit facility are described in detail in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Form 10-K/A.

February & May Liquid Yield Option Notes (in millions, except per LYONs amounts)

On February 6, 2001, we issued Liquid Yield Option(TM) Notes ("February LYONS") at an original price of \$579.12 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity, which represents an aggregate initial issue price of \$576.1 and an aggregate principal amount at maturity of \$994.8.

On May 9, 2001, we issued Liquid Yield Option(TM) Notes ("May LYONs") at an original price of \$579.12 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity, which represents an aggregate initial issue price including the over allotment exercised by the original purchaser of \$240.3 and an aggregate principal amount at maturity of \$415.0.

The LYONs have a yield to maturity of 2.75% per year, computed on a semi-annual bond equivalent basis, calculated from the date of issuance. We will not pay cash interest on the LYONs prior to maturity unless contingent interest becomes payable. The LYONs are subject to conversion to SPX common shares only if certain contingencies are met. These contingencies include: our average stock price exceeding predetermined accretive values of SPX's stock price each quarter; our ability to maintain a minimum credit rating; or upon the occurrence of certain corporate transactions, including change in control. We may redeem all or a portion of the February LYONs for cash at any time on or after February 6, 2006 at predetermined redemption prices. February LYONs holders may require us to purchase all or a portion of their LYONs on February 6, 2004, February 6, 2006, or February 6, 2011. We may redeem all or a portion of the May 9, 2005. May LYONs holders may require us to purchase all or a portion of their LYONs on May 9, 2003, May 9, 2005 or May 9, 2009 at predetermined redemption prices. We may choose to pay the purchase price in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and common stock. The LYONs are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations.

Interest Rate Swaps

On January 1, 2001, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138. In accordance with these provisions, we

recorded a transition adjustment upon adoption of the standards to recognize the fair value of our interest rate swaps and recognize previously deferred gains as a component of other comprehensive income. The pre-tax impact of this adjustment was to increase other comprehensive income by \$9.9 and increase other assets by \$9.9.

We currently have twelve outstanding swaps that effectively convert \$1,700.0 of our floating rate debt to a fixed rate, based upon LIBOR of approximately 4.9%. These swaps are accounted for as cash flow hedges, and expire at various dates, the longest expiring in November 2004. Fair value is based on quotes from swap dealers. During the quarter, we recorded a pre-tax loss of \$47.3 in other comprehensive income related to these swaps due to a decline in market interest rates. As of September 30, 2001, the pre-tax accumulated derivative loss in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$52.7 and a corresponding liability has been recorded to recognize the fair value of these swaps. The ineffective portion of these swaps has been recognized in earnings and is not material.

With respect to these swaps, we estimate that changes in interest rates over the next twelve months will not have a material impact on the results of operations.

```
Early Extinguishment of Debt
```

In July of 2001, we paid down our \$25.0 Medium-Term Notes. No gain or loss was recorded in connection with this transaction.

In the first quarter of 2000, we paid down our existing Tranche B debt of \$412.5 and revolver of \$50.0, recorded an extraordinary loss of \$15.0 pre-tax (\$8.8 after-tax, or \$0.28 per share), and replaced the existing credit facility with a new \$1,487.5 credit facility.

10. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The components of comprehensive income (loss), were as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,				
		2001		2000		2001		2000
Net income Foreign currency translation adjustments Unrealized losses on qualifying cash flow hedges SFAS 133 transition adjustment	\$	59.2 (22.3) (47.3) -	\$	62.7 (3.3) - -	\$	108.0 (12.4) (62.6) 9.9	\$	116.6 (4.8) - -
Comprehensive income (loss)	 \$ =====	(10.4)	\$ =====	59.4	 \$ =====	42.9	\$ ====	111.8 =======

The components of the balance sheet caption accumulated other comprehensive (loss) are as follows:

		ember 30, 2001		mber 31, 2000
Foreign currency translation adjustments Unrealized losses on qualifying cash flow hedges Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of related tax	\$	(31.8) (52.7) (3.6)	\$	(19.4) - (3.6)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	 \$ ====	(88.1)	 \$ ====	(23.0)

11. ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standard No. 141 "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141") and Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"). These pronouncements change the accounting for business combinations, goodwill, and intangible assets. SFAS No. 141 eliminates the

pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations and further clarifies the criteria to recognize intangible assets separately from goodwill. The requirements of SFAS No. 141 are effective for any business combination accounted for by the purchase method that is completed after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 states goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are no longer amortized but are reviewed for impairment annually (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise). Separable intangible assets that are not deemed to have an indefinite life will continue to be amortized over their useful lives. The amortization provisions of SFAS No. 142 apply to goodwill and intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001. With respect to goodwill and intangible assets acquired prior to July 1, 2001, companies are required to adopt the pronouncement in their fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2001. We are currently evaluating the provisions of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 and the impact that adoption will have on our financial position and results of operations. Based on historical purchase price allocations and preliminary allocations for business combinations completed prior to June 30, 2001, we estimate that the cessation of goodwill amortization will increase our operating income by approximately \$62.0 on an annualized basis when we adopt the accounting pronouncements.

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No. 143"). The provisions of SFAS No. 143 will change the way companies must recognize and measure retirement obligations that result from the acquisition, construction, development, or normal operation of a long-lived asset. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 143 as required on January 1, 2003 and at this time have not yet assessed the impact that adoption might have on our financial position and results of operations.

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144"). SFAS No. 144 supersedes Statement of Accounting Standards No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Live Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" and also supersedes the provisions of APB Opinion No. 30 "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual, and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." SFAS No. 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The provisions of SFAS No. 144 will generally by applied prospectively, and at this time, we have not yet assessed the impact that adoption might have on our financial position and results of operations.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (dollars in millions)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATED:

On May 24, 2001, we completed the acquisition of United Dominion Industries Limited ("UDI") in an all-stock transaction valued at \$1,066.9. A total of 9.385 million shares were issued (3.890 million from treasury) to complete the transaction. We also assumed or refinanced \$884.1 of UDI debt bringing the total transaction value to \$1,951.0.

UDI, which had sales of \$2,366.2 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000, manufactures the following products: electrical test and measurement solutions; cable and pipe locating devices; laboratory testing chambers; industrial ovens; electrodynamic shakers; air filtration and dehydration equipment; material handling devices; electric resistance heaters; soil, asphalt and landfill compactors; specialty farm machinery; pumps; valves; cooling towers; boilers; leak detection equipment, and aerospace components.

The acquisition was accounted for by the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the statements of consolidated income for the three and nine month periods include the results of UDI beginning May 25, 2001. For a complete discussion on the acquisition of UDI, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

	Three months ended September 30,				s r 30,			
		2001		2000		2001		2000
Revenues	\$	1,216.7	\$	645.1	\$	2,807.2	\$	1,968.0
Gross margin % of revenues		399.8 32.9%		221.8 34.4%		903.6 32.2%		661.3 33.6%
Selling, general and administrative expense % of revenues		241.2 19.8%		121.5 18.8%		541.5 19.3%		369.9 18.8%
Goodwill/intangible amortization Special charges		20.9 4.0		10.3 63.8		46.5 47.9		29.4 85.5
Operating income		133.7		26.2		267.7		176.5
Gain on issuance of Inrange stock Other (expense) income, net Equity earnings in joint ventures Interest expense, net		1.3 8.5 (39.6)		98.0 (1.7) 8.0 (24.2)		(7.4) 26.9 (94.6)		98.0 21.8 26.9 (70.6)
Income before income taxes	\$	103.9	\$	106.3	\$	192.6	\$	252.6
Provision for income taxes		(44.7)		(43.6)		(84.6)		(103.6)
Income before loss on early extinguishment of debt	\$	59.2	\$	62.7	\$	108.0	\$	149.0
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of tax		-		-		-		(8.8)
Net income	 \$ ====	59.2 ======	 \$ =====	62.7	 \$ ===	108.0 ======	\$ ====	140.2
Capital expenditures Depreciation and amortization EBITDA (1)	\$	32.4 58.3 205.8	\$	31.0 27.5 136.1	\$	113.4 124.1 484.5	\$	91.0 83.5 383.3

(1) Earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") is not a measurement of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles and does not represent cash flow from operations. Accordingly, you should not regard EBITDA as an alternative to net income as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. We believe that EBITDA is widely used by our lenders, analysts, investors, and other interested parties in our industry but may not be comparable with EBITDA as defined by other companies.

Unaudited pro forma results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 as if UDI and SPX had been combined as of the beginning of those periods follow. The pro forma results include estimates and assumptions that we believe are reasonable. However, pro forma results do not include any actual or anticipated cost savings or other effects of the planned integration of UDI and SPX, and are not necessarily indicative of the results which would have occurred if the business combination had been in effect on the dates indicated, or which may result in the future. The comparisons of the three and nine month results of operations were affected by the acquisition of UDI. The following pro forma data is presented to facilitate more meaningful analysis.

	Pro forma three months ended September 30,			Pro forma nine months ended September 30			hs	
		2001		2000		2001		2000
Revenues	\$	1,216.7	\$	1,233.4	\$	3,701.6	\$	3,741.7
Gross margin % of revenues		399.8 32.9%		401.0 32.5%		1,144.5 30.9%		1,196.5 32.0%
Selling, general and administrative expense % of revenues		241.2 19.8%		239.7 19.4%		747.5 20.2%		736.9 19.7%
Goodwill/intangible amortization Special charges		20.9 4.0		20.9 66.7		63.9 47.9		61.3 117.6
Operating income		133.7		73.7		285.2		280.7
Gain on issuance of Inrange stock Other (expense) income, net Equity earnings in joint ventures Interest expense, net		1.3 8.5 (39.6)		98.0 (1.7) 8.0 (42.8)		(7.1) 26.9 (117.2)		98.0 17.8 26.9 (128.4)
Income before income taxes	 \$	103.9	 \$	135.2	 \$	187.8	 \$	295.0
Provision for income taxes		(46.8)		(60.8)		(87.7)		(132.7)
Income before loss on early extinguishment of debt	 \$ ==	57.1 ======	 \$ ==	74.4	 \$ ==	100.1	 \$ ==	162.3
Capital expenditures Depreciation and amortization EBITDA (1)	\$	32.4 58.3 205.8	\$	39.7 51.4 211.0	\$	140.6 166.3 544.2	\$	128.0 158.4 596.2

(1) Earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") is not a measurement of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles and does not represent cash flow from operations. Accordingly, you should not regard EBITDA as an alternative to net income as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. We believe that EBITDA is widely used by our lenders, analysts, investors, and other interested parties in our industry but may not be comparable with EBITDA as defined by other companies.

PRO FORMA THIRD QUARTER 2001 COMPARED TO THE PRO FORMA THIRD QUARTER 2000

Revenues - 2001 revenues decreased by 1.4% compared to 2000 primarily due to a decline in the Industrial Products and Services segment of 11.9%. Revenues in the Technical Products and Systems segment increased by 22.4% compared to the prior year while the Service Solutions and Flow Technology segments declined 3.8% and 2.4%, respectively, compared to the prior year. Revenues in the Industrial Products and Services segment were lower primarily due to the sale of the Door Products business on May 31, 2001 into a joint venture with Assa Abloy and the sale of GS Electric on May 18, 2001. Both businesses were reported in the Industrial Products and Services segment. Technical Products and Systems revenues were stronger primarily due to increased demand for digital broadcast antennas and fire detection and building life-safety products in 2001 and 2000, and acquisitions completed in 2001.

Gross Margin - 2001 gross profit margins were lower by 0.4% compared to 2000. Excluding \$12.9 of special charges recorded in cost of products sold, 2001 gross profit margins were lower by 0.7%. Gross margins in the Industrial Products and Services segment were 2.4% lower than the previous year primarily due to lower volumes and integration and facility launch costs at both our precision die-cast operation in Wales, England and our Fluid Power business in Rockford, Illinois. The Service Solutions segment gross profit margins were 4.9% higher than the previous year. Excluding \$12.9 of special charges recorded in cost of products sold, the Service Solutions segment 2001 gross profit margins were lower by 2.1% primarily due to lower volumes and an unfavorable product mix. Gross profit margins in the Technical Products and Systems segment decreased by 2.1% compared to 2000 primarily due to pricing pressures, unfavorable product mix and lower volumes than expected at our Inrange subsidiary. The Flow Technology segment experienced gross profit margins of 0.9% above the prior year primarily due to cost saving initiatives achieved in the period with the integration of UDI and improved performance at our valve businesses.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses - These expenses were flat in 2001 compared to the same period last year due to our focused cost reduction actions and integration of UDI administrative corporate functions.

Goodwill and intangible amortization - In the third quarter of 2001, the \$20.9 of amortization reported was flat compared to 2000.

Special charges - In the third quarter of 2001, we recorded special charges of \$4.0. A special charge of \$2.7 was recorded in the Technical Products and Systems segment to write-down the value of an investment held in a third party. \$1.3 of special charges was recorded in the Industrial Products and Services segment. These charges primarily relate to previously announced plant consolidations. In the third quarter of 2000, we recorded special charges were primarily associated with work force reductions, consolidation of facilities, and the discontinuance of certain product lines. For a complete discussion on the special charges recorded in the quarter of 2000, UDI recorded special charges of \$3.5 primarily related to plant consolidations, work force reductions, and asset impairments.

Other (expense) income, net - Other income was \$1.3 in 2001, compared to \$96.3 in 2000. Other income in the third quarter of 2000 primarily included the \$98.0 gain on the public issuance of stock in our Inrange Technologies subsidiary.

Interest expense, net - In the third quarter of 2001, interest expense was \$3.2 lower than the comparable period in 2000 primarily due to lower interest rates.

Income taxes - The effective income tax rate for the third quarter of 2001 and 2000 is 45%, which reflects the annual impact of the UDI acquisition. The actual effective income tax rate for the third quarter of 2001 was 43% compared to a pro forma tax rate of 45%. The 43% tax rate reflects the inclusion of UDI for only seven months from the date of acquisition on May 24, 2001 compared to a pro forma twelve month period. The pro forma and actual effective income tax rate in 2001 and 2000 is higher than the U.S. statutory income tax rate primarily due to the amortization of nondeductible goodwill and state taxes.

PRO FORMA FIRST NINE MONTHS 2001 COMPARED TO THE PRO FORMA FIRST NINE MONTHS 2000

Revenues - 2001 revenues were 1.1% lower than the prior year. Revenues were lower in the Service Solutions, Industrial Products and Services and Flow Technology segments by 11.3%, 6.2% and 2.9%, respectively. Lower sales in these segments were partially offset by the strong 22.1% increase in revenues in the Technical Products and Systems segment.

Gross Margin - 2001 gross profit margins were lower by 1.1% primarily due to the lower revenues and unfavorable product mix at our Service Solutions segment, pricing pressures, product mix and lower volumes than expected at our Inrange subsidiary and the impact of integration and facility launch costs at both our die-cast and Fluid Power operations. In addition, \$13.5 and \$13.6 of inventory write-downs were recorded in costs of products sold in the first nine months of 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses - These expenses were higher by \$10.6 in the first nine months of 2001 compared to the same period last year due to higher expenses in the Technical Products and Systems segment. This segment experienced an increase due to acquisitions completed in 2001, and costs to support new business programs and revenue growth. All other segments reported lower SG&A expenses in the period compared to last year.

Goodwill and intangible amortization - In the first nine months of 2001, these expenses increased by \$2.6 compared to 2000 due to acquisitions completed in 2000 and 2001.

Special charges - In the first nine months of 2001, we recorded special charges of \$61.4 associated with restructuring actions and asset impairments. These charges were primarily related to work force reductions, plant consolidations, the discontinuance of certain product lines, and costs associated with the announced move of our corporate headquarters. For a complete discussion on the special charges recorded in the period, see Note 4 of the Consolidated Financial Statements. In 2000, we recorded special charges of \$131.2 in the first nine months. These charges are primarily associated with restructuring actions, in-process technology write-offs, asset impairments, and product rationalizations. Of the total special charges recorded, \$13.5 and \$13.6 associated with the discontinuance of certain product lines were recorded in cost of products sold in the first nine months of 2001 and 2000, respectively. In the first nine months of 2000, UDI recorded special charges of \$33.4 primarily related to plant consolidations, work force reductions, and asset impairments.

Other (expense) income, net - Other (expense) income was (\$7.1) in 2001, compared to \$17.8 in 2000. Other (expense) income in the first nine months of 2001 primarily includes losses on the disposal of businesses. On May 18, 2001, we sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of our GS Electric business and recorded a pre-tax loss of \$11.8. In April 2001, UDI sold the assets and

liabilities of a product line in the Marley Pump business and recorded a pre-tax loss of \$4.0. In March 2001, UDI sold operating assets for a pre-tax gain of \$4.3. On May 17, 2000, General Signal Power Systems, Inc. ("Best Power") settled its patent infringement suit against American Power Conversion Corporation ("APC") and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$23.2, net of legal costs and other related expenses.

Interest expense, net - In the first nine months of 2001, interest expense was \$11.2 lower compared to 2000 primarily due to lower interest rates.

Income taxes - The pro forma effective income tax rate for the first nine months of 2001 was 46.7% compared to 45.0% in 2000. The tax rate in 2001 was higher than the effective tax rate of 45.0% due to lower marginal tax rates on special charges taken during the period. The actual and pro forma effective income tax rate in 2001 and 2000 is higher than the U.S. statutory income tax rate primarily due to the amortization of nondeductible goodwill and state taxes.

SEGMENT REVIEW

- -----

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended Septembe				
		2001		2000		2001		2000
Revenues: Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology Service Solutions	\$ \$:: ===			208.4 217.3 63.7 155.7 645.1		989.0 560.1		673.4 201.8 524.3
Operating Income: Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology Service Solutions Special Charges Corporate General Corporate	\$	52.1 43.0		9.2		94.3 120.7 77.6 26.5 (19.0) (32.4)	·	98.6 68.9 26.1 19.2 (9.3) (27.0)
	\$ ==:	133.7	\$ ==	26.2	\$ ==:	267.7	\$ ==	176.5 ======

The comparison of the three and nine month results of operations in 2001 was affected by the acquisition of UDI. The following pro forma results reflect the acquisition of UDI and are presented to facilitate more meaningful analysis.

	Pro forma three months ended September 30,				Pro fo nine mo ended Sept												
	:	2001 2000		2001		2001 2000 2001		2000		2001		00 2001		2001		2000	
Revenues: Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology	\$	301.5 422.7 315.9	\$	246.4 479.7 323.8	\$	825.1 1,380.0 946.9	\$	675.5 1,471.3 975.7									
Service Solutions	\$	176.6 1,216.7	\$	183.5 1,233.4	\$	549.5 3,701.5	 \$	619.2 3,741.7									
Operating Income (1): Technical Products and Systems Industrial Products and Services Flow Technology Service Solutions General Corporate	\$	41.0 53.4 43.0 13.3 (13.0)	\$	48.2 68.0 37.0 15.4 (15.3)	\$	109.6 151.2 84.7 43.7 (42.7)	\$	117.6 194.2 86.4 58.4 (44.7)									
	\$ ===:	137.7	\$ ==:	153.3	\$ ===	346.5	\$ ===	411.9									

(1) Pro forma operating income does not include special charges.

PRO FORMA THIRD QUARTER 2001 COMPARED TO THE PRO FORMA THIRD QUARTER 2000

Technical Products and Systems

Revenues - In the third quarter of 2001, revenues increased by 22.4% compared to 2000 primarily due to increased demand for digital broadcast antennas and fire detection and building life-safety products in 2001 and acquisitions completed in 2001.

Operating Income - In the third quarter of 2001, operating income decreased by \$7.2 compared to 2000. The impact from higher revenues was offset by lower operating income at our Inrange subsidiary. Inrange experienced lower operating income primarily due to pricing pressures and an increase in selling, general, and administrative costs to support acquisitions and expected new business programs.

Industrial Products and Services

Revenues - In the third quarter of 2001, revenues decreased by 11.9% compared to the same period last year primarily due to the contribution of the Door Products business on May 31, 2001 into a joint venture with Assa Abloy, the sale of GS Electric on May 18, 2001, and a soft U.S. market at our compaction equipment business. Lower revenues were partially offset by the continued strong sales of power transformers and precision die-castings.

Operating Income - In the third quarter of 2001, operating income decreased by \$14.6 compared to the same period last year due to lower volumes, unfavorable product mix in certain businesses and the impact of integration and facility launch costs at both our precision die-cast facility in Wales, England and the Fluid Power business in Rockford, Illinois.

Flow Technology

Revenues - In the third quarter of 2001, revenues decreased by 2.4% compared to 2000 primarily due to the sale of our Marley Pump business. The Marley Pump business was sold in two parts; one product line was sold in April 2001, and substantially all other remaining assets and liabilities were sold in August 2001. Excluding the impact of the sale of Marley Pump, revenues increased by 1.7% on stronger revenues for cooling towers, valves, and backflow prevention devices.

Operating Income - In the third quarter of 2001, operating income increased by \$6.0 compared to 2000 primarily due to cost reductions associated with the UDI acquisition and improved performance at our valve, backflow prevention device and cooling tower businesses.

Service Solutions

Revenues - In the third quarter of 2001, revenues decreased by 3.8% compared to 2000 primarily due to a decline in industry production and the timing of new product launches of specialty tool programs in 2000.

Operating Income - In the third quarter of 2001, operating income decreased by \$2.1 compared to 2000 due to the lower revenues realized in this segment.

PRO FORMA FIRST NINE MONTHS 2001 COMPARED TO THE PRO FORMA FIRST NINE MONTHS 2000

Technical Products and Systems

Revenues - In the first nine months of 2001, revenues increased by 22.1% compared to 2000 primarily due to increased demand for digital broadcast antennas and fire detection and building life-safety products in 2001 and 2000 and acquisitions completed in 2001.

Operating Income - In the first nine months of 2001, operating income was lower by \$8.0 compared to the same period in 2000. The impact from higher revenues was offset by lower operating income at our Inrange subsidiary. Inrange experienced lower operating income primarily due to pricing pressures and an increase in selling, general, and administrative costs to support acquisitions and expected new business programs.

Industrial Products and Services

Revenues - In the first nine months of 2001, revenues decreased by 6.2% compared to the same period last year primarily due to the impact of the weaker Euro, a soft U.S. market for our compaction equipment business, the contribution of the Door Products business on May 31, 2001 into a joint venture with Assa Abloy, and the sale of GS Electric on May 18, 2001. Lower revenues were partially offset by continued strong sales of power transformers and precision die-castings.

Operating Income - In the first nine months of 2001, operating income decreased by \$43.0 compared to 2000 due to lower volumes, the sale of certain businesses, lower profitability at our compaction equipment business and the impact of integration and facility launch costs at both our precision die-cast facility in Wales, England and the Fluid Power business in Rockford, Illinois.

Flow Technology

- ----

Revenues - In the first nine months of 2001, revenues decreased by 3.0% compared to 2000 primarily due to the sale of the Marley Pump business and lower demand for sales in the ice cream and process equipment products at Waukesha Cherry-Burrell.

Operating Income - In the first nine months of 2001, operating income was flat compared to 2000. Lower volumes were offset by operational improvements and integration savings associated with the UDI acquisition.

Service Solutions

Revenues - In the first nine months of 2001, revenues decreased by 11.3% compared to 2000 primarily due to a decline in industry production and the timing of new product launches of specialty tool programs in 2000.

Operating Income - In the third quarter of 2001, operating income decreased by \$14.7 compared to 2000 due to the lower revenues realized in this segment.

```
Liquidity and Financial Condition
```

Our liquidity needs arise primarily from capital investment in equipment and facilities, funding working capital requirements to support business growth initiatives, debt service costs, and acquisitions.

Cash Flow

	Nine months end 2001	ed September 30, 2000
Cash flow from (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 236.6	\$ 164.1
Tax on sale of Best Power	-	(69.0)
Investing activities	(1,375.0)	(304.8)
Financing activities	1,405.2	177.5
Net change in cash balances	\$ 266.8	\$ (32.2)
	============	===========

Operating Activities - In the first nine months of 2001, cash flow from operating activities, before taxes on the sale of Best Power, increased by 44% from the first nine months of 2000 primarily due to increased earnings before special charges and improvements in accounts receivable collections.

Tax on sale of Best Power - In the fourth quarter of 1999, we sold Best Power to Invensys for \$240.0. The \$69.0 reduction in cash flow represents the taxes associated with the sale. The large tax payment generated from this sale was primarily due to \$132.2 of non-deductible goodwill recorded in the acquisition of Best Power in 1995.

Investing Activities - In the first nine months of 2001, business acquisitions and investments include cash for the purchase of United Dominion Industries. Capital expenditures of \$113.4 were primarily for new equipment, the expansion of manufacturing facilities to support new business programs in our high growth businesses and restructuring initiatives. In the first nine months of 2000, capital expenditures of \$91.0 primarily represent expenditures for expansion of a manufacturing facility in the Industrial Products and Services segment and for new business.

Financing Activities - In the first nine months of 2001, cash flow from financing activities includes \$905.1 of proceeds from stock issued for the UDI acquisition, \$1,724.2 of proceeds from the amended credit facility and the issuance of the LYONS, and debt payments of \$1,252.7.

Total Debt

The following summarizes the total debt outstanding and unused credit availability, as of September 30, 2001:

	Fotal nmitment	Amount standing	C	nused redit cility
Revolving loan (1)	\$ 600.0	\$ -	\$	530.1
Tranche A loan	450.0	450.0		-
Tranche B loan	492.5	492.5		-
Tranche C loan	827.1	827.1		-
LYON's, net of unamortized discount of \$580.4	829.4	829.4		-
Industrial revenue bonds due 2001 - 2025	22.9	22.9		-
Other borrowings	87.2	87.2		-
Total	\$ 3,309.1	\$ 2,709.1	\$	530.1

 Decreased by \$69.9 of facility letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2001, which reduce the unused credit availability.

The Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of the assets of the company (excluding EGS) and requires the company to maintain certain leverage and interest coverage ratios. Under the most restrictive of the financial covenants, the company is required to maintain (as defined) a maximum debt to earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. Under the new Credit Facility, the operating covenants, which limit among other things additional indebtedness by the company and its subsidiaries, the sale of assets, capital expenditures, mergers, acquisitions and dissolutions, and

share repurchases, are less restrictive than those of the old credit facility. At September 30, 2001, the company was in compliance with its financial covenants.

Other Matters

Acquisitions and Divestitures - We continually review each of our businesses pursuant to our "fix, sell or grow" strategy. These reviews could result in selected acquisitions to expand an existing business or the disposition of an existing business. Additionally, we would consider a larger acquisition (more than \$1,000.0 in revenues) if certain criteria were met.

Environmental and Legal Exposure - Certain claims, including environmental matters, suits and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business including but not limited to competitive issues, contract issues, intellectual property matters, personal injury claims, and workers' compensation have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. In our opinion, these matters are without merit or are of a kind, or involve amounts, as would not have a significant effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows if disposed unfavorably. In addition, it is our policy to comply fully with applicable environmental requirements.

An estimated loss from legal action or claim is accrued when events exist that make the loss probable and the loss can be reasonably estimated. We also maintain property, cargo, auto, product, general liability, and directors' and officers' liability insurance to protect us against potential loss exposures. There can be no assurance that such costs for environmental and legal exposures could not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position in the future. We believe that accruals related to such litigation and claims are sufficient and that these items will be resolved without material effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity, individually and in the aggregate.

Pending Patent Litigation - We believe that we should ultimately prevail on a pending patent infringement claim that we are pursuing in binding arbitration against Snap-On, Inc. which could result in a significant judgment favorable to the company. Certain claims, have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. Snap-On has voluntarily dismissed two of its allegations of patent infringement, and summary judgment in favor of SPX was granted on two others. Certain claims for solicitation of Snap-On employees by SPX remain in the case. Since the amount of damages cannot be fully quantified until an arbitration ruling is issued and no assurances can be made as to the final timing and outcome of any arbitration, no gain or loss has been recorded. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included our 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Form 10-K/A, for further discussion.

Pension Income - Our pension plans have plan assets in excess of plan obligations. This over-funded position results in pension income as the increase in market value of the plans' assets exceeds costs associated with annual employee service. There can be no assurance that future periods will include significant amounts of net pension income.

Significance of Goodwill and Intangibles - We had net goodwill and intangibles of \$2,994.8 and shareholders' equity of \$1,617.9 at September 30, 2001. We amortize our goodwill and intangible assets on a straight-line basis over lives ranging from 7 to 40 years. There can be no assurance that circumstances will not change in the future that will affect the useful lives or carrying value of our goodwill and intangibles.

Accounting Pronouncements - On July 20, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141") and Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"). These pronouncements change the accounting for business combinations, goodwill, and intangible assets. SFAS No. 141 eliminates the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations and further clarifies the criteria to recognize intangible assets separately from goodwill. The requirements of SFAS No. 141 are effective for any business combination accounted for by the purchase method that is completed after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 states goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are no longer amortized but are reviewed for impairment annually (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) Separable intangible assets that are not deemed to have an indefinite life will SPAS No. 142 apply to goodwill and intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001. With respect to goodwill and intangible assets acquired prior to July 1, 2001, companies are required to adopt the pronouncement in their fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2001. We are currently evaluating the provisions of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 and the impact that adoption will have on our financial position and results of operations. Based on historical purchase price allocations and preliminary allocations for business combinations completed prior to June 30, 2001, we estimate that the cessation of goodwill amortization will increase our operating income by approximately \$62.0 on an annualized basis when we adopt the accounting pronouncements.

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS No. 143"). The provisions of SFAS No. 143 will change the way companies must recognize and measure retirement obligations that result from the acquisition, construction, development, or normal operation of a long-lived asset. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 143 as required on January 1, 2003 and at this time have not yet assessed the impact that adoption might have on our financial position and results of operations.

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144"). SFAS No. 144 supersedes Statement of Accounting Standards No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Live Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" and also supersedes the provisions of APB Opinion No. 30 "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual, and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." SFAS No. 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The provisions of SFAS No. 144 will generally by applied prospectively, and at this time, we have not yet assessed the impact that adoption might have on our financial position and results of operations.

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the foregoing discussion in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contains forward looking statements, within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor created thereby. These forward looking statements, which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance, are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those matters discussed above and the impact of events stemming from the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. Due to such uncertainties and risks, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Reference is made to our 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by form 10K-A, for additional cautionary statements and discussion of certain important factors as they relate to forward looking statements. In addition, our estimates of future operating results are based on our current portfolio of businesses, which is constantly subject to change as we implement our fix, sell, or grow strategy. Unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws, SPX disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Management does not believe the company's exposure to market risk has significantly changed since year-end 2000 and does not believe that such risks will result in significant adverse impacts to the company's results of operations.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

We held our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 25, 2001 at which shareholders elected three directors to three-year terms expiring in 2004 and approved the amendment of the 1992 Stock Compensation Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Plan from 5,000,000 to 10,000,000.

The results of the voting in connection with the above items were originally presented in our second quarter 2001 Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2001. Due to a typographical error in the information disclosed for Proposal 2, we are presenting corrected results of the voting information below. The outcome of the votes is unchanged.

	For	Broker non-vote	Withheld / Against	Abstain
Proposal 1 - Election of Directors				
Sarah R. Coffin Charles E. Johnson II David P. Williams	23,413,891	-	-	210,173
Proposal 2 - Amendmend of the 1992 Stock Compensation Plan	11,399,715	3,231,256	8,893,397	99,696

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Certain claims, including environmental matters, suits and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business including but not limited to competitive issues, contract issues, intellectual property matters, personal injury claims, and workers' compensation have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. In our opinion, these matters are without merit or are of a kind, or involve amounts, as would not have a significant effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows if disposed unfavorably. In addition, it is our policy to comply fully with applicable environmental requirements.

An estimated loss from legal action or claim is accrued when events exist that make the loss probable and the loss can be reasonably estimated. We also maintain property, cargo, auto, product, general liability, and directors' and officers' liability insurance to protect us

against potential loss exposures. There can be no assurance that such costs for environmental and legal exposures could not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position in the future. We believe that accruals related to such litigation and claims are sufficient and that these items will be resolved without material effect on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity, individually and in the aggregate.

We believe that we should ultimately prevail on a pending patent infringement claim that we are pursuing in binding arbitration against Snap-On, Inc. which could result in a significant judgment favorable to the company. Certain claims, have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. Snap-On has voluntarily dismissed two of its allegations of patent infringement, and summary judgment in favor of SPX was granted on two others. Certain claims for solicitation of Snap-On employees by SPX remain in the case. Since the amount of damages cannot be fully quantified until an arbitration ruling is issued and no assurances can be made as to the final timing and outcome of any arbitration, no gain or loss has been recorded. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included our 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended by Form 10-K/A for further discussion.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

- (2.1) Merger Agreement, dated March 10, 2001 between SPX Corporation and United Dominion Industries Limited, incorporated herein by reference from our current Report on Form 8-K, file No. 1-6948, filed on March 12, 2001.*
- (11) Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(12.1) Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.

- * The exhibits and schedules are not filed, but SPX undertakes to furnish a copy of any exhibit or schedule to the Security and Exchange Commission upon request.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K

On September 26, 2001, we filed a Form 8-K to provide revised guidance for our expected third quarter 2001 and full year 2001 financial results.

On August 6, 2001, we filed a Form 8-K/A to provide pro forma financial information for the acquisition of UDI by SPX.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPX CORPORATION (Registrant)

Date: November 13, 2001

By /s/ John B. Blystone John B. Blystone Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 13, 2001

By /s/ Patrick J. O'Leary Patrick J. O'Leary Vice President Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer By /s/ Ron Winowiecki

Ron Winowiecki Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

The following table sets forth the ratio of earnings to fixed charges for SPX for the years ended December 31, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 2001. All dollar amounts presented in this exhibit are stated in millions, except ratios.

		Year ended	December 31	Nine months ended September 30,
	1996 (5) 1997	(6) 1998 (7)	1999 (8) 2000 (9)	2000 (10) 2001 (11)
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (1)(2)	5.7	7.7 (0.3)	1.8 3.0	4.3 2.9
Earnings: Pretax income from continuing operations Fixed Charges JV Earnings, net of distributions		1.0 \$ (41.7) 9.6 51.0 - (24.7)	\$ 107.5 \$ 198.3 126.0 101.8 (3.2) 0.3	\$ 252.6 \$ 192.6 75.7 99.1 (0.8) (0.3)
Total Earnings	\$ 161.7 \$ 15	0.6 \$ (15.4)	\$ 230.3 \$ 300.4	\$ 327.5 \$ 291.4
Fixed Charges: Interest (3) Rent expense interest factor (4)	\$21.5 \$1 6.8	3.2 \$ 45.1 6.4 5.9	\$ 117.6 \$ 95.0 8.4 6.8	\$ 70.6 \$ 94.6 5.1 4.5
Total fixed charges	\$ 28.3 \$ 1	9.6 \$ 51.0	\$ 126.0 \$ 101.8	\$ 75.7 \$ 99.1

(1) For the purpose of determining the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consists of income from continuing operations and fixed charges.

- (2) On October 6, 1998 (the "Merger Date"), General Signal Corporation ("GSX") was merged into a subsidiary of SPX Corporation (the "Merger"). The Merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition whereby GSX was treated as the acquirer and SPX as the target.
- (3) Interest expense consists of net interest expense on indebtedness and amortization of deferred financing expenses.
- (4) One-third of net rental expense is deemed representative of the interest factor.

EARNINGS FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 AND 2000 AND THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 AND 2001 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING SPECIAL CHARGES AND OTHER UNUSUAL ITEMS:

- (5) In 1996, we negotiated a royalty settlement related to a previously divested semiconductor business and received and recorded \$4.0 of royalty income. We also recorded charges of \$20.0 for asset write-downs, lease termination costs, severance, warranty repairs and environmental matters.
- (6) We recorded other charges of \$17.9 in 1997. We also recorded a \$63.7 pre-tax gain on the sale of General Signal Power Group and a \$9.0 pre-tax gain on the sale of an equity interest.
- (7) In 1998, earnings were not sufficient to cover fixed charges by approximately \$42.0. We recorded special charges of \$101.7 and other charges of \$108.2 in 1998.

- (8) We recorded special charges of \$38.4 in 1999 for merger and restructuring initiatives. We also recorded a \$23.8 pre-tax gain on the divestiture of Best Power, a \$29.0 pre-tax gain on the divestiture of Dual-Lite and an investment in a Japanese joint venture, and a \$13.9 pre-tax gain on the sale of marketable securities.
- (9) We recorded special charges of \$103.2 in 2000. These charges are primarily associated with workforce reductions, asset impairments, product rationalizations, and other restructuring actions to consolidate manufacturing and sales facilities. \$12.3 of the charge was recorded in cost of products sold. Additionally, our subsidiary Inrange Technologies, issued 8,855,000 shares of its class B common stock for cash in an initial public offering. Accordingly, we recorded a \$98.0 pre-tax gain. We also recorded a \$23.2 pre-tax gain on the settlement of a patent infringement suit against American Power Conversion Corporation in 2000.
- (10) For the nine months ended September 30, 2000, we recorded special charges of \$97.8 (\$12.3 is included in cost of products sold). These charges are primarily associated with work force reductions, asset impairments, product rationalizations, and other restructuring actions to consolidate manufacturing and sales facilities. Additionally, we recorded a \$23.2 pre-tax gain on the settlement of a patent infringement suit against American Power Conversion Corporation as well as a \$98.0 pre-tax gain on the public issuance of stock in our Inrange subsidiary.
- (11) For the nine months ended September 30, 2001 we recorded special charges of \$61.4 (\$13.5 is included in cost of products sold). These charges related primarily to work force reductions, asset write-downs, and other cash costs associated with plant consolidation, exiting certain product lines, and other restructuring actions. This charge also consisted of amounts of \$4.1 and \$14.9 primarily related to the abandonment of an internet-based software system and the costs associated with the announced move of our corporate headquarters. We recorded an \$11.8 pre-tax loss associated with the divestiture of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of our GS Electric business.